

TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEO - A 260 Year History of the New World Order [Jim Fetzer and Matt Ehret] june2023

The following is a transcript of a long presentation on universal history which I delivered to Jim Fetzer on The Raw Deal [a huge thanks to Bud Fox for going through the arduous work of transcribing this episode]. The full video and audio of the presentation can be viewed here:

JIM FETZER: Yesterday it was my great pleasure to meet for the first time a Canadian historian and author who in my opinion has one of the best grasps of the big picture of anyone I've ever encountered and I've encountered quite a few, so I'm going to tell you this guy is really good.

His name is Matt Ehret and he's got a lot to say.

I was especially intrigued when we did a discussion yesterday which is now posted at my Rich Youth channel, Jim Fetzer, about a forthcoming TNT radio show tomorrow where Matt will be a participant.

When we began to talk about the attempts of the new world, the one world government, the new world order, the Great Reset that Matt began to explain how this is hardly the first time historically that such efforts have taken place and that while they were very energetic they were nevertheless unsuccessful, and that gave me the thinnest ray of hope maybe they won't be successful this time either.

So I immediately took the opportunity to bring him on today having only met yesterday but I can tell you this guy is good.

He has a first-class mind he has vast knowledge even encyclopedic.

I'm just thrilled to have him here Matt welcome to the Raw Deal.

Jim it's a real pleasure to join you again and thank you for the generous introduction. Hopefully this conversation is going to be fun.

I hope people learn something out of it and maybe get some hope as well. I think it's very important to not fall prey to the the trappings of the wannabe gods of olympus because if you think about the way that the oligarchy, and I don't just mean the oligarchy today, but I mean historically, as far as a continuous process, the system of oligarchy — the right of a few, a hereditary minority to pass on their rights to control

the the masses of slaves in society, that institution which has been there since the days of ancient Babylon.

If you get into the mindset of how these these wannabe gods – what is their psychological mind space that shapes their heart, their identity, that they pass on through their own perverted educational processes, cultural practices, into their kids, their grandkids. It's sick but it's worth getting into that headspace because they do think of themselves as, or they want their victims to think of them as these immortal gods, these elites above mortals who have this great omnipotent, omniscient power of seeing all, of having the power to do all and just that thought is enough to make US psychologically, psycho-spiritually impotent sometimes because we're like, oh everything we're doing is being watched anything we try to do is going to be destroyed. Anybody who's tried to do anything good has been killed and people then take the wrong lessons when they look at the lives of Martin Luther King Jr or John F Kennedy or Lincoln.

Just look at all of the martyrs who actually lived their lives and fought against this machine and were killed, and the lesson that people then take who have been abused psychologically, the lesson they take is well better not to make waves right.

The lesson is don't try to do anything that's going to challenge the status quo or the powers that be and the reality is no, when you look at history in a sober context.

Number one it's I find a miracle that we've even been able to achieve the level that we have. Now of course I could say it could have been a lot better right?

I could imagine a world which is better than ours I think everyone can.

But certainly, it could have been a lot worse as well. Like, it's a miracle that we broke out of feudalism where 95% of the the population had no literacy and a life expectancy of maybe 28 years of age with infant mortality rates that were like three out of five kids dead before the age of two .

And that was the "norm", that was what most people had to live for hundreds of years where you were like a talking cow on a feudal lord's estate. So how the hell did we break out of that? Is it that the oligarchy just gave US some benefits?

They were just like: "ahh, we're so bored in our castles, so let's give them some progress. Let's let's give them some literacy let's let's teach the orphans because we're bored."

No it was a fight. It was obviously a fight. The whole American Revolution was a fight

Everything was a fight for the emancipation of humankind, and so when you start looking at it from that standpoint of that approach to history, I found to be a very valuable approach, and you start seeing that there's weaknesses in this oligarchy's system in the way that they think, then you can start to see their achilles heels.

And it reminded me just when I was listening to what you were saying in the opening remarks about our our own incompetence of the Biden policy at a time of a completely self-decapitating energy crisis, economic crisis that's all being self-induced. This is self-mutilation we're doing to ourselves nominally to try to hurt Russia and Russia is getting hurt a little bit but not very much compared to the type of damage we're doing to ourselves.

It's really in my mind it's kind of like Rumpelstiltskin.

You remember the story of Rumpelstiltskin? It's kind of like that.

I think that that's kind of a microcosm of what's going on, elegantly, where to the degree that everybody plays by this demonic little elf's game, everything's okay. You're screwed, but I mean things are gonna just kind of go at their pace. But as soon as the victim, I forgot her name, the princess who was assigned by the demonic elf to weave hay into gold infinitely (which already just disobeys the laws of physics and common sense).

But anyway that's what she's doing and she doesn't want to give up her baby she's had to do this as part of a pact to save her dad from death by a greedy king who just wanted a lot of gold and so she agreed to this then the demonic elf gets her out of trouble and on the condition that she gives her first born kid to the elf to do with — we can only imagine.

But as soon as she guesses his name, because he says you can break the contract, all you gotta do is guess my name and she's like okay Dumbledore? No, like Bill? No okay you got a third chance and then if you screw that one up you're fried and she finds a way to get the right answer and — Rumpelstiltskin! And he's like what!? In rage all he can do at this point is tear himself to shreds. And I think certain powers that be on this earth today, certain statesmen have decided that they don't want to sacrifice their ancient civilizational forces, their ancient cultures, onto this altar that they were expected to sacrifice onto years and years ago.

And I think with Russia especially, we've seen a combat, especially since 1999, against the fifth column deep state operations embedded within Russia that were really amplified in the 90s. And there's been an ongoing combat.

Sometimes the nationalist forces win. Sometimes they lose a battle. But it's been a progressive 25 or 23-year struggle which has seen a lot of the the worst evil satanic oligarchs that have been embedded in Russia who have escaped jail to find sanctuary in London where you have the Moscow on the Thames, right.

The London oligarch section or Florida or New York where a lot of these venomous satanic officials, billionaires, have found home now for about 20 years.

Some of them have gone to jail who remained behind in Russia, but there's been a fight and Russia's, I think, very clearly, along with other Eurasian powers, no longer willing to go with the new world order agenda.

They're not willing to sacrifice their, like I said, their people, their cultures, to this depopulation agenda. And so they've called out the name, and I think to that degree, the beast, this thing that's controlling this this technotronic priesthood, which is controlling their puppets inside the US White House, and also Canada and much of .

They can only rage they don't know how to actually deal with the reality that you have real competent creative battling happening right now on a multitude of levels and so they're doubling down. They've been committed to depopulation for a long time, so right now you've got a shutdown of what little productive powers we still had available to US .

We're seeing, that was already atrophying for like 50 years, and now in the last especially a couple of months they're just doubling down, destroying food crops, killing 37 million chickens and turkeys, canceling pipelines, canceling vital energy resources, and just utilizing what little energy we had in reserves. They're just pumping it out so we don't really even have much as far as reserves are concerned, in Canada either.

So yeah, it's psychopathic, but it's a Rumpelstiltskin complex as far as I see it .

JIM

Well Matt I really so appreciate it yesterday when you began talking about how there have been these efforts to impose one world government

I'd really like for us to recap part of that in as much detail and to as great an extent as you would like to go. This is all your time. I'm so eager to have you present all your background knowledge to my audience. I'm just delighted you're here. Go for it.

MATT

Okay, if you give me free rein, all right I'll paint a little picture I guess. All right, so from my research and a lot of this can be found, for people who are unsatisfied by just the spoken word, because we're gonna summarize a lot, there's gonna be a lot of thumbnail sketches going around. I'm gonna be hopping around, but I'm going to still try to keep it coherent and principled.

But for those who are not satisfied by that, they can read more extensively this research in the context of my book series.

I've recently published a series of books called *The Clash of the Two Americas*, with my wife Cynthia Chung..

So the purpose of *The Clash of the Two Americas* is to reconstruct American history. This is ironic that I'm a Canadian doing this, but maybe it had to be that way for the time being, I don't know

But to reconstruct American history from the standpoint that the American Revolution of 1776 to 1783 (it was concluded with the Peace of Paris in 1783), but it wasn't finalized. It was an unresolved battle. It was a battle won. It was good that that battle was won. Something new was created for human society to work with as far as a new type of system of Natural Law, governed by the consent of the governed. The idea that all men and women are created equal, made in the image of God with, the US, inalienable rights that endow US with the the idea that, for example, your rights to life liberty, happiness, were not something that could be given by a human being, or thus taken away, but were intrinsic to our common equal birth as far as being made as creatures in the image of God.

And that the nation's laws are contingent upon the general welfare to the degree that the nation's economic political security policies are in coherence with things that defend and improve upon the general welfare.

That's the degree to which they're good, they're in conformity with Natural Law, right, the laws of the universe that were there even before the American Revolution or before human beings arose. There were still these natural laws of the universe made by the Lawmaker, the Creator. So that concept philosophically is super rich.

And as a Canadian I was treated in my schooling experience to not respect that, because we're British. We English-speaking Canadians might sound or look a lot like our American cousins, but the reality that we're taught early on, is that we're superior in many ways because we never had to go through a bloody revolution. We never had to do that. We just knew that if we were obedient and if we were patient that the

sometimes overbearing mother country would grant US those liberties, which ultimately she did grant US, right, and the crown gave US these liberties which are enshrined in our founding documents.

But if you read our Canadian founding documents, they're a failure morally and psychologically and philosophically, failures, because it literally says in the 1867 British North America Act that it's not like we're a nation that was set up to promote the interests of the people or the general welfare.

It literally says, that Canada exists 'in order to promote the interests of the British Empire'.

That's why we are set up constitutionally with a privy council, a governor general, a deep state structure embedded, enshrined in our government, and the democratic component is sort of a secondary feature.

We missed out we had an opportunity, we had many opportunities to recapture the failure to accept Ben Franklin's offer and his challenge to the early Quebecois, the French Canadians to join and become the 14th member of the 13 colonies, to declare this new nation together, and again the break in history is so big because before this all that we had was hereditary power, right?

Might makes right. Your bloodline determines your destiny, whether you were born poor or whether you were born into a hereditary blue blood family. You had no free will to change your destiny. And that was the norm like I said, feudalism, right. That was part of the formula for that disaster

But it goes back for a very long time. So it was a major new potential for human beings as a whole, not just in America, but globally, to operate under a completely higher paradigm.

But it was sabotaged.

And so the point in my book series is that the culmination, the fruition of this new age of reason, which was supposed to happen, was derailed, first in France, with the French Revolution.

I think people are generally aware that there was something that was trying to act in accordance with the American principles in France in 1789 and 90 and 91 and 92. That turned into a bloody Jacobin terror. This was a bloodbath where in a very short period of time the qualified leadership of France who had done so much to just a few years earlier help the US cause against the British, the qualified leaders, people who were

like the greatest scientists like Antoine Lavoisier, a close collaborator of Ben Franklin who was the the ambassador to France right through a very important period.

Jean Sylvain Bailly, the the mayor of Paris who was also the head of the Assemblée Nationale, who led the Tennis Court Oath in 1789. Marquis de Lafayette, was obviously another great leader early on.

But many others too.

What happened was, what began as a hopeful replication of the success of the US experience quickly turned into everybody losing their heads.

Bad, corrupt people who were part of the elites lost their heads.

Good people who were not corrupt, who were part of the so-called “elites” also lost their heads.

The population was abused and they had suffered a lot. This was a population of peasants. It was a seigneurial, a feudal system of France- so the people didn't have the sort of cognitive powers that the average American farmer had in America which you had the idea that there was a there was a culture of literacy by the time of the American Revolution so everybody could read. If you were a farmer you could read the Bible in Latin, in Greek.

There was a much wider cultural power in the Americas so people could read Thomas Paine and say “well okay, I understand why it is worth risking my life for the cause of freedom”. This was a very abstract idea. That wasn't easy for the Quebecois, uneducated farmers, to understand.

They couldn't read pamphlets. Same thing for the French in France.

In France, the general population couldn't read most of the pamphlets. So most people were really operating on despair, pain, hunger.

And that type of population was weaponizable. So what we had was sort of the proto-color revolution. We've seen this technique refined over the years.

We've seen it refined in the Bolshevik Revolution, where an abused poor people are corralled and utilized as weapons, mobs, to destroy all institutions good and bad alike on behalf of those who are managing the strings from the top who want to destroy nation states and destabilize them.

We've seen this more aggressively, especially in the age of George Soros, Mark Malloch Brown, starting in the 80s with the Open Society, the National Endowment For Democracy that sort of took this that was already done in the the French Revolution and just refined it with modern techniques using social media and stuff.

But the same thing, ultimately to overthrow, whether it was the Philippines in the 80s under Marcos or we saw this replicated again in Georgia in 2003 and Ukraine twice.

I mean, a variety of places this has been used to destructive ends, but it's always been an imperial weapon.

So the republic revolution failed in France, and as soon as it failed in France, you had five years of civil war on top of external wars.

It was a mess and a lot of this was being funded by British intelligence so people like Jeremy Bentham had a handle and had certain key people including Robespierre, Danton, Marat, operating on a very close leash for British intelligence as far as like rabble rousers were able to then just direct the mob. So that didn't go well, and after the king and the various elites were all killed by 1792, there was a vacuum of power and filling the vacuum, none other than a man who promised to restore order was brought into play.

And I think we all know the story of Napoleon who promised to be a Roman consul echoing the time of the Roman Republic, but people quickly realized, no it's not the Roman consul it's not the Roman Republic that's his model, actually he declared himself Emperor, and it's actually the Roman empire that was his model.

And that became 20 years of religious wars in Europe.

I've looked at some of your archives, Jim. You have written about how the Rothschilds, leading banking networks in the City Of London were more than happy to fund both the British as well as the French armies in this ongoing war of chaos. And so all of the republican movements in Prussia, in Ireland, in Poland, in Spain under the Goya networks and King Carlos, who was a good republican king, ironically in Spain at that time all of these these more positive networks were ripe and ready to move to usher in this new age of reason and brotherhood and this republican set of values, not "George Bush Republican", obviously, I'm talking about the higher philosophical idea that was all crushed in 20+ years of ongoing war from 1794-1815.

So that that derailed.

But it was a wake-up call for the British Empire, and the oligarchy as a whole, because it wasn't just the British Empire.

If you look at the ruling families of Britain, these were the Hanoverian families then later on the Saxe-Coburg families, the Gotha families, the German families were the ruling families that later changed their name to Windsor.

There's a lot of interbreeding, a lot of this, even though there's overlap with the Habsburg families. So the ruling aristocratic old nobility are inbred. They utilized their localized empires in Europe to fight each other for territorial gains over a long time, but overall they were united in a common sense of self-interest.

So they worked together and they re-established themselves after the fall of Napoleon in 1815. And this is a very important moment, because of what happens in 1815. This is the moment that Henry Kissinger writes his first book in 1959, and it's on Metternich's and Castlereagh's Congress of Vienna.

Kissinger and a scene from the 1815 Congress of Vienna

Now Kissinger in his book, when he's still just a young upstart sociopath just being introduced to the Council on Foreign Relations, he talks about this 1815 Congress of Vienna as the most important master key in world history.

He says this is the most important thing that ever happened in history, which is his lifelong model of how to navigate real politics. Everything he does is informed by the experience that he studied in this book which was I think his PhD thesis.

So what was this Congress of Vienna?

So the Congress of Vienna was the restoration of the monarchies. In Europe as soon as the Battle of Waterloo was ended you had British intelligence in the form of a figure named Lord Castlereagh, who was a leading grand strategist of those days, who with his collaborator Prince Metternich who was another leading organizer of this, arranged a large long booze-filled orgy-astic conference that went on for several months.

The Congress of Vienna was the name, it went on for several months, and essentially what was agreed upon was the need to consolidate power to ensure that on a political, a cultural, and educational level, that the ideas that gave birth to the chaos of the French Revolution and then Napoleon would never be permitted to blossom ever again in Europe.

This is a moment when the Jesuit order was re-established in Europe because they had been banned 50 years earlier.

They had been banned in 1773 from Europe by a pope who very soon found himself poisoned to death, probably not a coincidence.

And they had to go, they re-established for about 45 years their home base in Moscow. And the Jesuits were not really allowed to operate openly anywhere in Europe for that entire period until the 1815 Congress of Vienna.

Then they were reconstituted.

They were granted all authorization to re-establish their power as a sort of a secret police of the Vatican. That wasn't just designed to protect the Vatican. It was actually also designed to keep the Vatican in check. So it was a kind of like a deep state operation that had a very Masonic sort of structure of control, especially with the higher degrees. So it was a very, very useful tool of control that could take up chameleon-like techniques to masquerade as anything in order to advance a certain way of thinking about theology and the way the world should be governed.

So that was re-established. Russia was under that time, the Jesuits influenced Russia which still had good people.

Don't get me wrong. There were still good patriots fighting against this, but the deep state component of it became the sort of the police officer of much of Europe during this period.

And most importantly there was something called the Carlsbad Decrees around the same time that the congress instituted which was a massive Orwellian system of controls of acceptable thought, acceptable art, acceptable books, and you had sensors in every publishing house. You had censors in schools, you had a mass purging of all teachers who encouraged their students to read Benjamin Franklin or Thomas Paine or even listen to Beethoven.

It was almost impossible to listen to even the works of a lot of the more what you might consider Promethean musicians who, when you really listen to some of this great music, you change in a more powerful way, right.

This was all recognized as being not compatible with the type of system of cultural and and sociological controls that an oligarchy required to maintain human talking cows.

So again you couldn't find republican-spirited books. It was like a big book purge.

A lot of teachers flooded into the United States from Germany, from Europe, at this time especially Germany.

Basically their careers were crushed, kind of like Mccarthyism of the 1950s.

If you said something good about, or let's say you brought up the in the 1950s something about the Wall Street funding of Fascism, or if you criticized American imperialism and you were a teacher, or you were working with a security clearance, then forget about it. You were labelled a red commie agent to be purged by the FBI.

That's kind of like what it was.

So that that was sort of the the basis of the idea of a global system of stability.

And under the Congress (of Vienna), and what Kissinger writes in his treaties is that the "greatest good" is the greatest static stability. And because the Congress of Vienna has achieved the greatest consolidation of power into the Master Class and achieved a culture of stasis that crushed creative thought, that crushed the heart's ability to love freedom, it did it quite effectively.

He says that that is the the basis upon which the new world order that he devotes his life to right the in the cold war and even to this very day somehow he's still alive is all premised on this formula.

The greatest good is the greatest "no change". Now that's not actually true, right?

Every piece of evidence we could find from the universe, from the living universe, the process of life evolving, and before life appeared on the earth, we see the growth of suns come out of apparently from processes we don't even understand, from galaxies producing suns. Most of what the universe is made of, to be perfectly honest, we don't know, we haven't discovered.

We're given a lot of definitive textbooks that tell us that everything has been discovered and people will write about dark matter and dark energy and black holes, and it goes on forever about all of these things. We are told that we know exactly what's inside the sun. Look we've got diagrams cut out of the sun and you're being honest, you have to step back and say "wait a minute, we don't know any of this stuff. Nothing's been proven. This is just like conditioning" and it creates arrogance and a lack of humility, because now all of a sudden if we think we know it all what's going to motivate minds to try to discover anything, if it's all been charted down to the 13,000th decimal point, of accuracy.

They could chart down apparently mathematically exactly when the big bang happened to the split second and it's like, my god, the arrogance of it all, like we just discovered there was such a thing as atomic behavior a little over a century ago and now you're going to say about when the universe began and when it's when it's going to end, like, come on.

So all that to say the Congress of Vienna was a big, big disastrous thing. But I'm getting at this to get at the question of the new world order sabotages, because the thing about this is that it was so destructive on a spiritual level to the majority of the people living in Europe especially at that time.

America was far enough removed. The Americans were smart enough to not get enmeshed in that shit-show during this period, so they had their own experience outside of it.

But it's important to just put yourself in the traumatized state of an average person living through this in Europe.

There was such a stifling experience, and also economically, people were being crushed kind of like what they've done to Mexico since the 80s, right, or Russia in the 90s. They wanted to economically punish the European peasants and people for wanting a better life for themselves and being belligerent to their overlords, so they economically punish them massively by inducing increased rates of starvation .

The Malthusian laws of population control were put into into force.

We saw in Ireland, right, the Irish potato famine was an artificial killing off of millions of Irish under a controlled starvation policy.

It's not like they didn't have enough food.

It's kind of like today's America.

It's not like there wasn't enough food in Ireland to feed all their people. It's that the British free trade gun boats said, no, your free trade agreement demands that you export that and keep your borders open and not feed your local people, and we're gonna have soldiers on the ground to shoot anybody trying to eat their their crops. And that was like, literally Ireland lost half its population. It was a disaster, but it was a controlled disaster. And they did the same thing in India as well, in other parts of Europe.

So with all of this bubbling rage by the people who had been abused, one of the ideas that there was another danger of revolutionary activity occurring again. That's what happens right when you put tyranny on. Obviously people will always fight for freedom, generally, unless they're really beaten down.

So we had a moment when Marquis de Lafayette at his very old age in 1830 had a second chance. And that second chance, there was a lot of people from the west side as well working with Marquis de Lafayette.

Keep in mind Marquis de Lafayette was in America from 1824-25, working and mobilizing with people like John Quincy Adams, Friedrich List and William Lyon Mackenzie who were very closely aligned to the the republican networks of Europe.

People like James Fenimore Cooper, Edgar Allan Poe, even, were part of the intelligence apparatus, the republican intelligence networks that were working with Marquis de Lafayette, and who were in France during 1830s.

Samuel F. B Morris, the great inventor and discoverer, he was there on the ground in France too. You had many other people but the point is the idea was, "okay, France now has a second chance in 1830 to have their republican revolution". Marquis de Lafayette is the only one qualified to do it and he screws up again. He's the only one who didn't lose his head because he ended up escaping France back in 1791 or 92. And he ended up in a jail in a dungeon in some Austrian oligarch's castle for about five years as punishment.

But anyway he still was like a hero. He was the hero of the American Revolution and he was the hero of Canada, too. He was organizing with Papineau, the man who went on to lead the the rebellion of Lower Canada in Quebec too.

In 1837 he was meeting with him. He was meeting with William Lyon Mackenzie King, who was the republican leader of the rebellion against the British in Canada in today's Ontario.

They were meeting. So there was an international array of players who were organizing for a long time to undo the Congress of Vienna, the cultural dictatorship and everything else with a new attempt to restore to create or undo the mistake of 1789, and it failed again after all of these years of effort.

It failed again and Marquis de Lafayette made a bad mistake.

He thought he could work with the new king, I think it was Louis Egalite's son, Louis Philippe, and he basically went in front of the mobs of hundreds of thousands of

French who were ready to declare themselves free and independent as a sovereign republic. And he went onto the stage, onto the balcony, with the wannabe king and said okay I will be in charge of the military, and I'll maintain security, and I support this guy becoming the king.

He was an idiot. He thought he could trust the king. Within weeks he was fired from all positions of authority. He was stripped of all honors, and the king restored a hardcore dictatorship onto the people of France, and the oligarchy only grew and grew and grew.

So what what happened as a response, was okay, Lord Palmerston and another freemasonic psychopath named Giuseppe Mazzini who you might have heard of start a new operation.

Mazzini was the guy who was a controller, a handler, of a fellow named Albert Pike in the United States.

They came up with a new way of channeling this revolutionary energy into chaos and anarchism, and it became the growth of what was called the Young Europe Movement.

So Mazzini and Palmerston created a new type of movement called the Young Europe Movement, of young people who didn't have experience of the past. They were very abused, they had economic despair, no hope for the future, and so they became parts of this. It became cool to be a young Polish, a young German, a young Canadian and in America, you had branches in the north, Young America Movements under a certain character who was trained under Thomas Carlisle, who was in charge of a cultural warfare in the British Empire, and his name was Ralph Waldo Emerson. He created a pamphlet and lecture series called the Young American, where he called for the severing off of the old traditions of belief in the constitution, which is a document of hypocrisy that should never have existed, and he calls for essentially a hyper-atomized new type of man amongst the young who shouldn't trust anybody over 30.

And this new movement which again is directed by Thomas Carlisle and many others from British intelligence in Europe creates one branch of the Young America Movement which Edgar Allan Poe is doing battle with.

If you look at Edgar Allan Poe's writings throughout the entire 1830s and all the way up until his assassination, he's doing battle with this Transcendentalist new culture in his How To Write A Blackwoods Article (1838) and other things. He's always doing battle with this thing because he sees it as an intelligence operation to weaken and undermine the US culturally from within.

The other branch of the Young America Movement takes place in the south, and yeah, and Giuseppe's agents in the United States. Well there's two big ones. One of them is Albert Pike who goes on to become the founder of modern Scottish Right Freemasonry (revamped with his Morals and Dogma).

He's a Confederate general. He's also working closely with this other guy named George Saunders.

I don't think it's related to Colonel Saunders, but he is a colonel. And he's managing the Confederate basing of intelligence operations in Canada, so during the entire Civil War people often (this has been almost written out of most history books, but) a big thing that Lincoln was having to deal with was not only the secessionist movement in the south defending the slave power, but also the fact that he had this British operation in the north, British Canada, which had provided vast intelligence operations.

I mean Toronto, Montreal and Halifax were the three biggest zones of Confederate spies.

George Saunders, who was a high official in Franklin Pierce's government (president 1853-1857), so Franklin Pierce was sort of like a Mazzini puppet government. It was a Young America government run by all of those people who went on to craft the Confederate constitution that enshrined slavery as an unbreakable component in Article One of the Confederate constitution.

It actually has, 'there shall be no laws that ever allow for the freeing of any slaves forever' as part of Article One of the Confederate constitution that people say, oh yeah, if there was no civil war that slavery would have just disappeared naturally.

It's like it's in the damn constitution.

So I'll have to say George Saunders is a guy who had under Franklin Pierce's time in the 1850s, he had spent a lot of time with Mazzini in Europe. He ran an anarchist network. He interfaced closely with what became the Emma Goldman networks that killed later on McKinley and so and this is what people like John Wilkes Booth were brought into when John Wilkes Booth was up in Montreal for four weeks in November and December, no, October, November of 1864, which is where he got his program, his marching orders, when he was deployed back to the US and there's even archived letters of Wilkes Booth that are available of him talking with one of his relatives saying, yeah this is an amazing experience, I'm losing track of time I don't even know if I've been here for five weeks or seven weeks and it's like there was something weird

psychologically going on in his world. And anyway George Saunders was the other one who was a high level official in the Confederate government so that was this whole idea of weaponizing the masses.

For example, in my research, I've seen increasingly that Engels and Marx were part of this Young Europe Movement and they just sort of took a lot of the theories of economics that had been advanced by people like Jeremy Bentham, especially David Ricardo who's a British imperial economist, a lot of garbage in his thinking, but it was very influential. And Marx basically says in his theory of how human societies evolved and what should be the final destination of humankind into this utopic communist state after the previous states had gone through their natural cyclical class revolution.

So Marx was taking all of Ricardo's theories about the law of exploitation, the law of diminishing returns, and saying: "okay that right there is not what happens when you screw up or you act in folly, he said that it is an immutable law of nature that there will always be, as you have progress, an abundance of capital that accumulates into into fewer hands, causing a tension, an exploitation of greater amounts of people. And even David Ricardo before Marx, just thought he had a different way of resolving it, and Marx said, 'okay this collapse will result in a regime change, in a class struggle that will overthrow the old order and create a new order. And there's four phases in total, as he said, to all of human experience, with the final phase, the last phase being communism the abolishment of private property and the equalization of everybody on a mathematical playing field.

Now all this was, if you actually look at the effects of this in the Paris Commune in in 1871 and other things, everything I'm looking right now is just showcasing to me that they they just took the Young Europe formula and made it a little bit more scientifically coherent, to make it more controllable and deployable as a battering ram to undo nations that were acting in accordance with their general welfare of their own people.

We saw it deployed, I mean everybody who was killed, every statesman who was doing something good from the 1860s, 70s, 80s, 90s, all the way till the Archduke Ferdinand and even the killing of many German leaders in the 1820s and 30s.

The vast majority, I'd say like 98% were killed by anarchist cells that were ideological little zombies deployed by Anglo-American or mostly Anglo-intelligence operations to do things. The people who are shooting were just disposable little things.

They were ideologically (indistinct) they didn't know what they were doing. They didn't know who's controlling them.

They had romantic ideas about what they were going to get is this great utopia. They had no idea but they had suffered a lot of abuse, so anyway, this was done and we saw how it was deployed to destroy Russia after Russia had saved the USA during the Civil War... which is what happened by deploying the Russian navy under Alexander II to the coasts of the Atlantic and Pacific, we saw how that turned the tides in favor of the Union.

Czar Alexander II gave an interview that was published by Wharton Barker, a banker, in the 1890s that was when it was published, but he basically said the reason for US deploying the battlefleet, and everybody knew this, was it was on request by Lincoln via Cassius Clay the US ambassador to Moscow that was what brokered the deal. And it was a direct message to the British and the French imperialists that if you enter openly the war with hard power it will be a casus belli against Russia. And so that's what kept these imperial powers who, I mean, there were something like 15,000 British troops in Canada ready to invade from the north. There was something like I don't know the number, but there were a lot of French troops in Mexico stationed and ready to back up militarily the Confederate south from the north. And the only thing that kept them in Mexico and Canada was the Russian threat in 1863.

So you had this complete transformation of potential once more. Instead of the divide to conquer breaking up of nation states, you had a unification of the nation especially under Lincoln's Greenbacks, his brilliant banking techniques that unified, I mean there was something like 7,000 different local currencies. Just to get that across right in 1859-1860, there was about 7,000 local currencies for the 25 years since the Second Bank of the USA was dismantled in 1836. Every state, every local bank, was granted the authority to issue their own little mini local currencies.

There was no coherence. There was economic insanity and constant bank runs, bank panics. It was a speculative frenzy of no development.

There was no big infrastructure happening during that time because everything was cancelled to pay the debt in 1836 so everything seized up.

The US became an economically really weak place except for the Confederate South. There they exploded because the British were buying 80% of the southern cotton from slave plantations for their textiles and so the British were very clear that the south had to win.

That's why the British were making warships for the South. They were providing logistics support in Canada as well as having on the ground supporters there.

They were providing financial support through their banks and they were trying to choke Lincoln.

They were saying to Lincoln like, hey okay, we'll give you a loan if you want to go to a European bank or even a lot of your Wall Street banks we'll give you a loan, 30% interest.

Obviously that was not going to be paid. It's not viable, so the greenbacks were created in 1862 as a way to invoke national banking practices once more for the first time in decades, utilizing Article 1 Section 8 of the constitution. And that then provided the capital needed through treasury notes, not private finance, to then create a new type of money in circulation that could then provide loans not only to businesses and big infrastructure works, but also to pay for the soldiers who weren't getting paid. And that was vital.

Another component of that was the 5:20 bonds. So the issuance of these bonds that mature in five or 20 years that were again part of what allowed the capitalization of the USA and also the creation and coherence of a national policy around the transcontinental railway which also came with a whole slurry of industrial activity that wouldn't have existed otherwise.

So all of this was part of the fight.

The Confederate South didn't want industrial activity, they didn't like advanced machinery. They liked the idea of human physical talking cow labor which. In reality, one skilled machine operator can do the work of a thousand people, when you aren't dependent upon uneducated slaves for your profits, so that concept of value and technology advanced by Lincoln and his allies broke the formula of what made their idea of what their wealth was function. So that's why the British were supporting the south on so many levels and that's why the Russians came in to save them because what was Alexander the Second was known as "the great liberator" and supporter of technological progress.

He liberated 25 million serfs in the months before Lincoln signed the emancipation proclamation. That was when Alexander II liberated all of the serfs. The challenge was the same; how do you then put these people to work? How do you give them a viable purpose to life after they're off the plantations? So this is where you need to have industrial growth.

You have to have manufacturing, you have to have an educational program to make this work. And Russia was very much enthusiastic to apply this across Russia.

A noteworthy figure in this process is Dmitri Mendeleev.

Everybody knows him as a scientist, a great chemist.

He was also a great patriot who had come to study the American system.

He came to the USA in 1876 at the Centennial Exhibition with a whole big Russian delegation and he went back to Russia, was appointed to become the head of the protective tariff committee of Russia.

That's what his job was and he charted out the need to develop new minds, new rail, new development, new industrial corridors in Europe, working very closely with a network of American collaborators.

American engineers were on the ground in Russia helping to build the Trans-Siberian Railway, after 1890. The Trans-Siberian was built with rail cars made in Philadelphia. Baldwin Locomotives, who knows that. That's a big deal and they were doing that with state banks.

So under Sergei Witte and Mendeleev this dynamic duo, Witte being the finance minister, they managed to create, to consolidate the unpayable debts, and they created a whole network of state banks along the rail lines especially to fund the development of this thing, but a lot more, and it was moving in a very positive trajectory.

Especially with the opening up of Siberia, the connection into Manchuria, China there were rail lines that were even being funded by French and American bankers that were working with the nationalists that wanted to basically do what what failed to happen in 1776, which was finally create a world of mature sovereign nation states working on cooperation instead of killing each other.

And cooperation, it's always better if you're gonna work together rather than go to war with your neighbor. That's a much better way of doing business. So that's what all of this was about.

And throughout the 1880s starting with the the murder of President Garfield, you had a whole slew of high-level murders. Garfield was murdered the same year that Alexander II was murdered by an anarchist bomb.

Alexander the third was also murdered by poisoning.

You had the the French president, Sadi Carnot, assassinated in France was developing this American system Lincoln model policy in France with protectionism rail development.

Chancellor Otto von Bismarck was fired, but many German allies of Bismarck were killed by anarchists in that time in the 1890s as well because they were all fighting to keep World War One from happening.

They were all based upon a policy of diplomacy and cooperation with Russia, with even China and Japan and keeping these countries out of British manipulative intrigue. Everyone had an understanding that the heart of evil was, and it's not all the British people or the British government but this is where the parasite is found. It's found in the City Of London and it's in British intelligence that has their ambassadors their fifth columns everywhere.

And so everybody had a much greater understanding of that and the people who had the best understanding of that were all assassinated.

The Bolshevik Revolution was soon launched as well, funded by people like Lord Milner, Jacob Schiff, who was a big financier behind this as well, as this the setting up of the Federal Reserve in 1913.

Many of the leading figures associated with JP Morgan complex and Pilgrims Society (founded by the eastern establishment families in 1902 after the anarchist murder of McKinley) were involved in this.

Many of the City of London financial powers (who coordinated closely with french intelligence and the Russian Okhrana) were all involved in funding the worst elements of the Bolsheviks as another battering ram to overthrow the danger of a U.S, Russian, German trifecta working together on big infrastructure and science and technology.

That was a danger that the British Empire could not survive if that was permitted to blossom, so that had to go, and they kicked over the chessboard. And what we know of is the bloodbath of four years of the useless pointless meat grinder that was World War One.

That was the killing of the Romanovs, the ouster of the American System-oriented nation builders around Sergei Witte, and the destruction of that dynamic. The ouster of Witte, the assassination of dozens of high-level Russian foreign ministers and people who were on Witte's side were all assassinated as far as part of the chaos complex.

But the British again, the point being here is they got another kick in the ass of reality.

They realized that the global empire, there was only one world empire at that time and it wasn't America.

In the 1890s it was just the sun never sets on the British Empire and that was obviously dismantling.

It was falling apart right.

The British had overextended themselves with the Opium Wars in China, which was an evil set of wars right that was super super sinful to destroy the Chinese.

They over extended. That was very costly though to carry out that that second Opium War.

The two-year combat to destroy the Indian uprisings in 1859-60 also very costly.

The earlier Crimean Wars to suck Russia into the endless wars in the Balkan area and against the Ottomans that was a very effective thing too or an expensive thing.

And then the British efforts to try to split up the USA was very expensive and everybody got a better sense of the nature of this thing and so they had to change their ways and the British, this is what gets US into now the the 20th century.

JIM FETZER

Matt this is a perfect moment to take a break, and this is just a masterpiece. I can't tell you how thrilled I am to have you here. We'll have a break about four and a half minutes and then we'll continue with this disquisition that is just a mind-bending. I'm loving it Matt. This is terrific. I could not for ask for more. We'll be back, we'll be back in just a few, right after this break.

——— (BREAK) ———

So welcome back to the Raw Deal with Matt Ehret.

I'm reminded of years ago when I was on with John P Wells and he asked me a question about what happened at JFK and I spoke for three and a half hours.

John liked it so well he released it to the public for free. Well I was doing that without any notes.

Matt's doing this without any notes.

This guy has a superior mind and I am just so honored to have him here as my guest when I encountered him yesterday. I just recognized the quality of this man his knowledge, his intellect, his articulation.

This is one for the books, so Matt I'm just delighted. Yes we can we can do a screen share.

Give me one second. What I will do is make you the host so you co-host. You're ready to go. I think you can rock and roll and do what you like here. Go for it.

MATT

Okay, I may not use the screen share. I just sometimes you want to just punctuate something with an image so I like having the freedom.

Thanks and thank you again Jim for those very kind words, probably too generous words but we try our best.

I mean I think that's the thing with the example you gave of your experience when your friend asks you something so simple like what's the story with JFK. It's something sacred for you, it's so it's beyond information. It's something which you understand in your heart as being well, first of all it's sacred because the truth is sacred, and that everything changed because of that lie that's been maintained. And to the degree that the lie is maintained the great good that could have happened had JFK's momentum, his spirit, his ideas not been sabotaged with his early death, we could be living in a much more beautiful world.

Especially imagine two terms of that plus another two terms of Bobby Kennedy... my god, like just look at their policies, what they envisioned, and it was going to work and look at who they were scaring because the power structures of evil were definitely being challenged.

And you've immersed yourself in thousands, if not more, hours of research and thinking about the facts.

So yeah I mean it's just like it's part of you and so when somebody says "hey what's the story of JFK?" you could just go on you probably gotta you could probably marathon that for another eight hours.

So I gotta say the thing that gave me a lot of inspiration to approach history this way was when I was introduced to the method of thinking in the writings of a recently deceased American economist named Lyndon Larouche.

I don't know if that's a figure you've looked at.

JIM FETZER: Sure yeah yeah yeah, a brilliant guy.

MATT: Yeah, something else. A real phenomenon.

So people might agree or disagree with elements, predicates of what he says, but overall if you look at the methodology of history, and he's very clear on what his method is, so I really recommend people take the time to just sit back turn off social media, read a few hours of something dense. He's written things in the 70s and the 80s and the 90s.

Pick an essay written by LaRouche and just work it through and the method is solid and that is what I've found to be the most valuable method that bore a lot of fruit in my research which is to look for the tragedy, the classical tragedy of history, so to see history not just as a series of events that happen on a timeline which is the mistake I think of a lot of academics in history.

Most establishment hack historians all agree that there is no real continuity of history as a whole. There's just a bunch of dates that you memorize associated with events that happened, and it all is just stuff in the past, it has no real bearing on anything that regards our future or understanding our present circumstances in a meaningful way, at least.

And that's a fraud when you actually look at history as it happened from the standpoint that there is a battle of ideas of right ideas and wrong ideas about the nature of the the universe, what the nature of human beings are, and how we are made in the image of whatever this universe is.

If you believe the universe is a cold dark place that has no regard for morality, you're gonna live your life a very different way.

You will ignore the cause of your conscience.

That'll be stifled more and more the more you habituate yourself to that and if you think of the universe as a universe of law that is imbued with creativity, design, purpose; that we're made in the image of that. That's what we're part of ...we're part of the universe.

We're not like separate. There's not the universe and then there's us. The subjective us and the objective universe are two parts of the same damn thing so you're gonna live your life a very different way depending on how you conceptualize this whole process.

How you think about law, how you think about economic policy, how you think about human nature. How you think about science is going to be different.

In one school of thought, “science” would be something which separates you more from religion or from spirituality as you learn that logic allows us to discount the belief in metaphysics or things that are abstract. Like pure logic allows us to account for all the formulas that explain the universe.

That’s one approach.

The other one, if you look at people who made discoveries, great discoveries, like Kepler or Davinci or, I mean there’s so many... They have a very different view and in that other world of thinking, “science” is something which brings us into communion with the Creator.

It’s something which is sacred. It’s not something which disproves morality or anything like that. If anything true science enhances it.

So it’s like two opposing currents exist for a very long time masquerading as western science or western economics but they’re not the same thing.

One represents an oligarchical mode of organizing society around a master-slave class, where the masters control the shadows.

The slaves believe in the shadows and that’s the forever model in the Kissinger static world, of the Congress of Vienna... the talking cows know their place; they’re happy and satisfied with the scraps we give them.

And the elites know their place in their castles having their orgies, lording above the mortals and as the gods of Olympus, controlling the shadows.

Today it might have a bit of a secular veneer, but when you listen to like Yuval Harari or a lot of the World Economic Forum gurus talking about their philosophy of transhumanism, it’s actually masquerading as science or secular science, but it’s really not.

It’s really got a form of religion... but of a satanic quality.

We ended our our last segment on the question of the dissolution of the globally extended British Empire in the 1890s.

With the spread of this Lincoln-American modeled system of political economy in Russia, in France, in Germany, this is what created the Zollverein for example, the

customs union of Germany, was this American System that was advanced. The actual term American System was popularized by a German, named Friedrich List who came to America with Marquis de Lafayette in the 1820s and stayed throughout the 30s.

And he studied how was it that America survived the precarious early 20 years of its existence after the the revolution. He investigated how the young nation united under a common policy.

How did the population quadruple its population as well as increase its longevity and its productive powers of labor?

So he studied these things.

How did Hamilton do that? How was this different from everything that was known in Europe?

And he brought that discovery in his books which are readable today, back to Europe, back to Prussia, to unify the German state, which was just a bunch of warring baronials and princelings with no common unification, no development, and a very under-educated population. List unified it under the Zollverein to become one of the most productive if not the most productive manufacturing zone in a period of a few decades.

It really accelerated under Bismarck, but it wasn't specifically German as a policy, it was ironically quite American.

So this was spreading everywhere, even in China you had a Lincoln-admiring revolutionary named Sun Yat-sen who was preparing the 1911 revolution that created a republic for the first time and overthrew the hereditary Manchus of the Qing dynasty.

So, again Sun Yat-sen was studying in the United States in the 1880s and 90s. He brought his discoveries of what what Lincoln was doing into the "three principles of the people" that became the basis upon which China even today, to this very day, they still celebrate Sun Yat-sen. Ironically, a Christian, a Confucian Christian studying in the United States who created the modern Republic of China, is celebrated with statues as a national hero, ironically, both of Taiwan but also of mainland China.

So it's these rich historical paradoxes, they're so juicy, and so this whole thing was spreading around the world it was becoming clear that the old system of of empire was dissolving. It was not long for this world. This idea that "might makes right", that wealth is just based upon extraction or speculation or war, that those definitions of

wealth – rent, usury, war profiteering – those are not viable forms of the definition of wealth.

That's purely imperial. That's parasite wealth. That's parasite capitalism.

So that was finally being overthrown during this dense period of potential that occurred in the wake of the US Civil War.

So, there was a kicking over of the chess board, a lot of assassinations, some regime changes, and a war that didn't have to happen, that destroyed some of the best minds of Europe, the best scientists, the best artists.

And it really created what some have called "the lost generation". People became nihilists, existentialists, because it was really horrifying what they were put through.

But in the context of that, it is important to keep our sight on what the British Empire was doing. The inner grand strategists of the empire had created by the 1880s and 1890s, two key think tanks that conducted sort of what you might consider a corporate reorganization of the empire.

One was called the Fabian Society that soon created the London School of Economics. That was their sort of school for processing young talent, and the Fabian Society was designed to sort of cater to the left, the downtrodden, the unionists.

But it was really, if you look at it, that's who they were catering to as a nominally socialist operation, but they were managed by people like Beatrice and Sydney Webb, George Bernard Shaw, H.G. Wells, who, when you read the writings of these leading Fabians, they're all super misanthropic. They hate the masses. They only have disdain for the poor.

There is another important Fabian leader during this period named Sir Halford Mackinder. Mackinder was a leading Fabian as well who headed the London School of Economics, the founder of modern geopolitics, also a complete racist.

These guys all embraced eugenics as the new science of population control, and even Francis Galton, who is the founder of this "new science", the cousin of Darwin, he was a collaborator with Thomas Huxley with Huxley's X Club!

This Cambridge-based weird royal society organization of misanthropic scientists around Huxley who were trying to repackage and redefine what science is with every branch from sociology to astronomy to mathematics to acoustics to physics.

Everything was going to be redefined under this new idea that science is just about mathematical description.

It's not about discovering the unknown.

It's about using math to describe that which exists using your five senses and universal assumptions masquerading as rules that derive from those five senses.

It's radical empiricism or positivism, as some might call it. This is what people like Galton were logically a part of. They're extending this logic onto human social organization. They are asking: "How do we now contemplate how to incorporate this into managing the masses and purifying the gene pool? How do we clean out the bad genetics by finding mathematical or statistical rules that justify the sterilization of the unfit, people whose parents might have been in low IQ or had criminal records?"

The eugenicist will say that "They cannot be allowed to procreate if we truly care about making the world a better place". And so a lot of this stuff even got its funding early on by the Rockefeller Foundation and Carnegie Foundation as soon as they were set up.

Eugenics was among the earliest initiatives they were backing, including the sterilization laws of Indiana in 1907.

So it wasn't a coincidence that the pseudoscience of Eugenics was, as Francis Galton even said, the basis upon which a new global religion should be based and Galton has quotes, many quotes where he said like this is the foundation upon which a new religious order must be based a science a religion of science.

H.G Wells loved this. He talked about this immensely, and I know you like Bertrand RUSsell but I'm so sorry to tell you, but Lord Bertrand Russell was the most enthusiastic proponent of this as well, really, really, really loud and so the the Fabian Society was one of the think tanks that reorganized the empire.

Now another important think tank was set up at this time called the Round Table Movement. Officially created in 1902, and its base of operations was Oxford.

The funding for it was primarily Rothschild, Nathaniel Rothschild was a big funder of it, but the money was accrued through the rapacious, ill-begotten gains of Cecil Rhodes, the guy who ran Rhodesia, that created DeBeers and Anglo-American Corporaation. Much of the current ills of Africa are found largely in what Rhodes was a part of and created, and he made a fortune, a lot of money.

He also worked very hard with Kitchener and a group known as Milner Kindergarten. This grouping of sociopathic young men who all have weird proclivities around Lord Alfred Milner who became the head of the Roundtable Movement.

They cut their teeth destroying the Transvaal republic uprising right, the Dutch Republican settlers who had been there for hundreds of years in north east South Africa, today South Africa, but that was crushed.

They had two Boer Wars as part of the Transvaal Republic, and they were ultimately crushed and destroyed by those who created the concentration camp system later on deployed by Hitler.

But this was Kitchener, this was Milner's Kindergarten. People like Leo Amery, Phillip Kerr, who later became a leading player in this process under the Roundtable Movement in America under the creation of the Council on Foreign Relations. That's what the Roundtable Movement of America was, the creation of the Federal Reserve was set up largely by this click of sociopaths.

So the Roundtable Movement people like Milner worked with Mackinder, worked with Beatrice Webb. They were also eugenicists. There were also MalthUSians. They were also religiously committed to population control.

And the idea of a religion of the British Empire was always front and center in all their considerations for the creation of a new mercenary class of technocrat that would infest "civil services" of nations around the world. They were obsessed with the question: how do you create a new type of civil service that you could trust?

The old British Empire was a little bit too weak because they said they diagnosed Christianity, the British before the Civil War was still in, it's an Anglican society. By virtue of that it might be absurd that you have, the king is head of your church or queen, that's absurd.

But still you read the Bible and the tough part for the empire is well when you have a bunch of your Lords from from childhood reading the Bible or your civil servants right that are embedded as governors of India or Ireland or Canada, sometimes they start actually thinking for themselves a little bit.

And they think about their conscience and they think about their souls and they think about lessons in the Bible.

And what happens is that they become untrustworthy because doing their job becomes harder and harder for them because their job requires that they commit

mass genocide a lot and so they can't sleep at night and then what they often will end up doing is subverting your own civil service, your own deep state subverts the necessary evil that is required for the maintenance of the empire.

And there's tons of cases even of a British colonial governor in British Columbia who was assassinated because he was trying to work to get British Columbia to join the United States after the Civil War. [see The Imperial Myth of Canada's National Policy for this full story]

That was a big point of fight that actually is part of Canada's history because there was supposed to be a rail line that would go from from Lincoln's transcontinental all the way through British Columbia into Alaska and into Russia, if you could believe that.

It was advancing pretty fast and so that's a whole thing so they had the problem of how do you get rid of this conscience this Christian sort of tradition inside of the British governing class.

And so part of the thing about this was eugenics was a great supplement, a great replacement for religion... the science of just this cold nihilistic world of randomness, random mutations that govern the behavior of genetic fluctuations.

The other thing was "the church of the British Empire" outlined in Rhodes' 1877 Will, which was the idea of processing the young, those who are still too young to think for themselves, but give them scholarships and send them to Oxford, call it Rhodes Scholarships. Do the same thing for the London School of Economics. Look for the best talent and then redeploy them back after they've been processed, into their home countries.

So far I think something like 7,000 Americans have been processed through the Rhodes scholarship program, many of whom have played very destructive roles throughout the entire 20th century.

And today, like Joe Biden's cabinet is run by Rhodes scholars today, not just Susan Rice, but I mean there's, I recently wrote an article, Bruce Reed, Jake Sullivan, Ben O'Malley, there's others that I'm not even thinking about.

But this became the nature of the Great Game and the think tanks to coordinate the reorganization of the British Empire under a new name became known later on afterwards the Council on Foreign Relations or in Britain was called the Royal Institute For International Affairs.

They had branches in Canada, AUStralia, New Zealand, which to this very day still exert a huge amount of influence.

Hillary Clinton calls the CFR “the mothership”, that’s what she called it in a 2009 speech to the CFR, and sort of the queen of all think tanks. And after World War I, when the meat-grinder was over, by this time, the Roundtable Movement of Milner and Prime Minister Lloyd George.

The Roundtable Movement took full control of the British government. They ousted the labor government of Herbert Asquith in 1916 in a bit of a soft coup.

They had already ousted an anti-Roundtable Canadian government under Wilfrid Laurier in 1911, and I write about this in my books.

Laurier writes messages after the coup in Canada saying like Canada has now fully governed both parties by an organization in London called the Roundtable.

He understands it, luckily Laurier’s network comes back into power later on working closely with Franklin Roosevelt.

But Milner gains control, what do they want? They don’t only want to keep the war going, World War One, but they want to control the blueprint for the solution to the war!

And this is what we talked about yesterday with with Joe Olson, was the League of Nations. So in 1919 you didn’t just have the Treaty of Versailles that imposed impossible debt repayments onto Germany that were designed to destroy Germany physically, but also impose hyperinflation, force them to print money out of thin air to pay unpayable debts, with no means of production to justify the debts, resulting foreseeably in the complete destruction.

Everyone became a billionaire but nobody could eat because bread was trillions of dollars right. That was the process. People were burning money to stay warm. That’s sort of like the process if you want to get the principle of what is shaping our current crisis it’s similar to that, but now it’s a little bit more global or at least concentrated in our transatlantic time bomb area.

So they did that but they also created and this, the League of Nations was the key.

The covenant of the League of Nations involved not just getting rid of national sovereignty over the military because the logic was well national sovereignty is what created World War One.

If we just got rid of national sovereignty and the right of nations to have military, well we wouldn't have wars anymore. We'd have peace. This is a giant lie and actually some people drank that poison, but a lot of people didn't, and that's why it didn't succeed... because there was a nationalist resistance who recognized the evil of things like Article 10 of the League of Nations covenant which was premised around just like NATO later on, the collective security.

If one member of the League gets into a war, everybody is militarily obliged to back them up and that's a great formula for creating endless wars.

So there are many other points that would strip nations of their ability to do anything, which is why the patriotic factions in America around Warren Harding did a lot of good, and this is not just that he was Republican, but the people who supported him and fought with him to destroy the League of Nations and U.S involvement in that during the 20s were Democrat and Republican.

It wasn't a party thing, it was a patriotic thing, human beings first, who all recognized that they had a common enemy.

So you had Canadians as well, you had Irish as well sabotaging this thing, you had Indians you had Chinese, Sun Yat-sen was vastly outspoken against this, the League of Nations, as a sort of solution to our problems.

So it failed. That world government agenda failed where it was supposed to have succeeded and the religion of those promoting the League of Nations it was again a religion of eugenics of population control.

And just to recap a little bit again for those who haven't seen our last show, they tried again and they tried to get in the form of the controlled demolition of the US economy in the 1929 Great Depression that was completely artificial.

The U.S stock markets were overvalued through broker call loans, like brokers carrying out bets on the market during the deregulated roaring twenties that were deregulated under JP Morgan tool Calvin Coolidge and Andrew Mellon, the economic czar of America for 12 years. He led a complete British deregulation of the economy.

No more protectionism. Free-for-all easy money, a lot of gambling, like economics became more gambling based. You could increasingly just gamble with people's savings. As long as you were making money it didn't matter.

And the roaring 20s was the effect. It was debauchery, the lost generation was made even more confused by this process of hedonism and I mean there's things I'm leaving

out.

The British had an organized crime syndicate built up as well under the Bronfman gang, Meyer Lansky and others utilizing prohibition, but that was an organized creation of a North American Free Masonic-driven organized crime syndicate, starting in Canada with the Bronfmans and then transplanted and grown inside the USA after Canada got rid of our prohibition, and then the US got theirs.

But it was a coordinated thing to create this kind of structure of organized crime that would interface with intelligence agencies that would interface with the FBI that was used as part of the killing later on of JFK.

My wife actually just wrote a wonderful article on that, it's just been published yesterday on the Strategic Culture Foundation, it's really sharp bringing in the Mafia the Dulles networks, the Gladio operations into what happened in Miami as well as what was going on in Dallas.

Anyway this is all going on in the 1920s and it's different sides of the same thing.

Those controlling this are not compartmentalizing these things like we do.

We have got a problem in our society of compartmentalization which is why it's difficult to think of whole unifying causal processes because there's been a war on our minds to keep our minds schismed, right.

But this is what they were doing, so the Great Depression was orchestrated.

It didn't have to happen. The broker call loans were over, the markets were over evaluated, over inflated by a factor of something like eight by 1929, and on a certain day, all of those who were "in the know" (and were part of the JP Morgan Federal Reserve network), they basically called in those loans that they extended to the brokers that the brokers couldn't pay, and the brokers defaulted and what was the foreseeable consequence of this chain reaction default was a deleveraging of the entire economy.

The markets collapsed and you had the biggest wealth transfer in history, right, during this time.

Those who were in the know, Joe Kennedy, who was part of that time, unlike his son, he had fewer moral proclivities, and I mean again, Mellon, Calvin Coolidge, the JP Morgan's preferred clients list was a big list of people on the inside who sold short before the blowout. They then used their money to buy up pennies on the dollar,

stocks, farms, real estate, infrastructure for nothing, and they they kept it unproductive.

It's not like they they wanted economic value to happen. They didn't. They didn't redeploy or revive the industrial base.

They kept the industry shut to the point that by 1933 the US had lost 50 percent of its industrial productive powers.

Its machine tool sector was smashed

The biggest suicide rates of farmers ever in history exploded and agriculture was just being destroyed.

Everything was being destroyed and it was being destroyed for the purpose of creating psychological, spiritual shock therapy, so that people would accept as a solution Fascism as the economic miracle, which is why Mussolini was Times man of the year like, god knows how many times.

These are the same bankers that created the whole JP Morgan network, tried to kill Roosevelt at least twice, once with a Free Masonic anarchist. Giuseppe Zangara was a Freemason, deployed in February of 1933 who tried to shoot Roosevelt.

A woman hit his hand in the audience and he ended up accidentally shooting Mayor Cermak of Chicago. Roosevelt survived that one, but that was a big one and then later he was killed before they could even do any investigation.

Within weeks they eliminated Zengara, who was just this low-level disposable idiot.

Then you had the bankers coup, right, with Smedley Butler and everybody knows the story of Smedley Butler.

I don't have to say much, but they basically wanted to take Smedley who they thought they had under under their control. He'd been a tool of the military industrial complex for 30 years.

They thought they still had a handle on him to take out Roosevelt, install him as a puppet dictator, and use at that time a very fascist dominated American Legion which was run by several fascists who openly advocated fascism in America in the 1920s and 30s and install him as puppet.

The thing was he took names, he played along, and then he blew the whistle to congress. They had committee hearings, they investigated this, he went to the the

media.

There's films people can watch on YouTube of Smedley Butler speaking to the American people before he dies going through this banker's plot.

So he saved the United States at a key moment of weakness and it gave Roosevelt the space he needed to on the one hand sabotage the bankers' dictatorship of 1933.

So in London you had a six month long conference in 1932 and 33 called the London Conference and it was hosted by the Bank of England, the Bank of International Settlements and the League of Nations.

65 countries were brought on board. It was presided over by the King, and the idea was that the only solution of the Great Depression was to get rid of national sovereignty over economics and give central banks the power to mathematize and regulate world trade and make illegal deficit spending other things.

It was basically IMF austerity to the wazoo which would have destroyed nations' abilities to emit the credit needed to develop their infrastructure if that was permitted.

So again, it was a bankers' dictatorship, and it's completely been written out of the history books.

Also the British oligarchical control of gold and gold price commodities was a big part of this.

They were able to keep nations that were tied to gold at that time in a state of disequilibrium, a destabilization because you could always gamble and speculate on the price of gold. You can contract the gold in circulation if you happen to own a lot of it and the British Empire and their mining operations were a near vast monopoly.

So that was keeping economic warfare going against especially a USA that was trying to recover.

That's a big part of the n why so many people have a very negative idea of Franklin Roosevelt and are quick to say: "oh yeah Roosevelt was a bad guy because he legalized owning gold" and people who think this, they don't look at this broader role of gold as a weapon of empire to destabilize economically nations. That was a part of it.

Roosevelt basically pulled the USA, all of the US delegations out of all of the meetings at the London Conference of 1933.

He refused to allow the US to participate in any of this and he sabotaged, he torpedoed the conference.

This is a big part of my volume two of the Clash Of The Two Americas.

So it basically didn't work and their one world government failed yet again.

It was sort of like a great reset. These oligarchs said: we will reset the the great depression economy that we created as a depression and we will create a new system of values for the world under this supernatural control, very similar to the great reset.

So Roosevelt sabotaged that and then here was a battle among the British ruling high command over the question of what would be our technique now to to get our new world order across again?

And a big chunk of the British oligarchy especially around the Roundtable Movement were saying let's go with the Hitler card let's just put all of our eggs in that basket.

Prescott Bush was deployed to bail out Hitler when he was bankrupt in January of 1933.

The Nazi party lost most of their seats in parliament. Hitler was contemplating suicide and who was sent out was Brown Brothers Harriman and Prescott Bush to bail out the Nazi war machine and the Rockefeller Standard Oil interests IG Farben, Union Banking Corporation, the entire JPMorgan trust.

All of these organizations were putting the majority of their energy into the rise of Mussolini and especially Hitler, the sponsoring of eugenics science in schools.

Rockefeller Foundation was the biggest sponsor of Nazi eugenics policy, Mengele etc. They were doing the same thing with the Japanese fascists too during this time.

The same people that brought US the Federal Reserve, the same people that tried to kill Roosevelt, the same people that funded the the banker's coup the same people that ran a patriotic think tank that was actually fascist (the Liberty League) the the 1930s... Liberty League that was devoted to keeping the US out of the war out of World War II NOT because they were patriotic but because they they had an agreement with with the British and the German fascists to carve up the world with an Anglo-American jurisdiction over North America.

That's what JP Morgan and all of these fascists wanted. That's why they didn't want the US into the war because they had a previous agreement to have their jurisdiction

over the big chunk of the Americas.

Britain was going to control India, some of Africa and some of Europe.

Germany was going to control Russia and the heartland.

Japan was going to control China and Manchuria.

Mussolini was going to control his promised territories in Africa, they had the whole thing carved out.

So all that to say there was another design for the new world order.

Even up to this point the Nazi King Edward VIII was teaching young Elizabeth at this time how to do the Hitler salute. People have seen the videos.

There was a fight within the British oligarchy over the question “like “which direction do we go?” Neville Chamberlain worked overtime to ensure that Hitler was gonna gobble up Poland, Austria.

Alex Krainer did an amazing report demonstrating that Czechoslovakia, just like Poland, was backstabbed by the British. They had the most powerful military in the world. They fell according to British secret diplomacy, that got them to fail, and then Hitler was able to absorb their military as well as their gold through the Bank of International Settlements.

But the problem was Hitler became less cooperative. He realized at a certain point through his generals that well why are we gonna just listen to the British for directions and be their junior partner? We have everything. Why can't we be the leaders and they can be our junior partners?

He saved Britain like eight times during the war because he always wanted the anglo-saxon and Germanic pure races to dominate the world together as Ubermensch but some of the British didn't want to go along with that.

The British overlords had the view: “no we're the captains, you're the bag man. You're the enforcer, we're the captains” and other ones like King Edward VIII were more than happy to accommodate Hitler's will and Edward VIII was writing letters to Hitler the whole time saying I'm your man. Put me back in power I'll be your Nazi king, I promise.

Neville Chamberlain was too, Lord Halifax was too, and ultimately there was a decision to instead preserve the British Empire as the dominant power instead. This meant putting down the Frankenstein monster which was not obedient anymore. It meant

begging the USA to come in as much as possible and Roosevelt had a big fight on his hands to both on the one hand dismantle the British Empire but also he describes the Dutch and the French empires and the Belgian empires and create the basis and he had meetings with the Russians, with the Chinese especially, with even the Brazilians around primarily a US Russia China alliance that would be the backbone of the new world security economic architecture and that was the battle again of Bretton Woods of what the world system was going to be and the British system under John Maynard Keynes.

We're told Roosevelt was a Keynesian. Not true at all.

Keynes hated Roosevelt. Roosevelt hated Keynes. They both write about that.

Keynes was a fascist imperialist, a eugenicist to a high degree, and he was representing the British Empire interest to create a one world currency called the bancor in 1944 and had the Bank of England maintain control of the new world post-World War II currency under an Anglo-American special relationship where the US would be used as the battering ram controlled by the British. Churchill stated that British brains and American brawn will reconquer the world.

Roosevelt had a different idea. His delegation at Bretton Woods fought against this idea of a one-world bancor currency.

Instead they had fought for supporting New Deal policies for Africa I mean you could look just I mean I documented again in my book. Look at the the Indian, the African, the South American, the Russian delegations with their designs for Tennessee Valley Authorities rural electrification projects in all of Africa, Ghana, India, China, Russia, South America, Brazil.

They were all being supported and defended by the American delegation.

It was only the British were trying to stop everything from happening.

Harry Dexter White who's called a communist agent ironically by the Roundtable Movement, who started this myth was the head of the US delegation.

He passed a bill at Bretton Woods to dissolve the Bank of International Settlements after the war.

It was passed. Everyone voted except for the British to support the dissolution of the Bank of International Settlements and an auditing of the books that would have

showcased who really was at the heart of the rise of fascism from the British and American side of things.

He died under mysterious circumstances. Roosevelt died under very mysterious circumstances. No autopsy was ever done.

But we do know as soon as FDR died on April 12 1945, the deep state that he talked about, that he fought against took over.

He spoke to his son Elliot Roosevelt about how the state department is completely controlled by Churchill's people. He was fighting the Rhodes Scholars and Fabians.

The Canadian nationalists, the best elements of them, died mysterious deaths, in 1941. O.D. Skelton, Justice Minister Ernest Lapointe... there's four and the names of two are escaping me, but they're in my book.

They were all fighting against the Round Table takeover of Canada and they all died, and the Round Table took over to this very day.

That's what Chrystia Freeland is. That's what's running the show. That's what has been running the show throughout the entire 20th century, that's running Biden, that's what came in with Clinton, that's what ran the entire cold war.

If you want to look at the grand strategists who carried out, who interfaced with RAND Corp who managed the policies of the cold war, you got to look at this network.

Nuclear bombs were dropped on a defeated Japan very quickly.

The entire Anglo-American special relationship was set up

The OSS was purged, was shut down under Truman and was purged of all of those patriots in intelligence who understood the Anglo-American alliance behind fascism.

Everybody who was allied to Roosevelt's vision of a post-colonial world of cooperation were all ousted. Their careers were destroyed, some died.

Henry Wallace, his vice president was fired by Truman for the crime of resisting the idea of an Anglo-American fascist government. He was Commerce Secretary at the time. He was fired. He tried to run for president in 1948. That didn't work. The FBI had a lot to do with that.

Allen Dulles and that whole network took back controls and even though you had some pushback here and there with Eisenhower at his better moments and you had a

lot of pushback with Kennedy.

Despite that, this foreign operation, this sort of London-directed fifth column took more and more control over every aspect of our lives.

Martin Luther King and Bobby Kennedy were meeting. They were in a collaborative relationship in 1968.

That had to stop and we could only imagine what the world would have been right.

Had Kissinger and the whole Trilateral Commission – David Rockefeller complex not taken over, first with Nixon who was controlled by Kissinger and George Schultz who worked very closely to break the dollar from the US fixed exchange rate gold standard or gold reserve I should , and that converted the US economy into a consumer society cult.

Value became no longer tied to productivity.

It became tied to how much money can you make with money how much debt can you create that justifies the monetization of the system which is tied to ever more speculative rates of monetary flows under the deregulated market.

And this just became a 50-year process of atrophy. We've destroyed our infrastructure through neglect. We outsourced our industries or our manufacturing have all been outsourced for 50 years consistently, got worse under NAFTA, and this is what Trump tried to reverse by bringing back protectionism trying to revive the industrial base in a limited way.

It required China though, that's what his whole US-China trade deal was all about was, China has a non-depopulation agenda if you look at their policies of the Belt and Road Initiative, and you look at how that's tied to Russia through this completely new system of security economic finance.

It's completely outside of anything we're taught in the west.

If you look at it all of the activity is designed to undo the depopulation and stupidification policy of their people that had been underway for a very long time.

So this is what Trump was trying to do and what he did do to a certain degree with the 350 billion dollar first tier of the US – China trade deal, China was going to buy the US finished goods that would be the impetus to revive the rust belt of Detroit to Philadelphia.

He had a program that he passed as an executive order to start an industrial policy of the Arctic by starting with a rail line from the lower 48 states through Alberta into Alaska that was very much tied to Russia's Arctic development strategies which is again tied to the the Polar Silk Road.

That was all undone under Biden and the technocrats.

Had Trump not been overthrown through this regime change back in 2020, these policies would have continued ahead.

The defunding of the World Health Organization by Trump, that was very important so people might not like and for good reason what Trump says that is, I think he's really stupid on the whole vaccine thing. I think he's dumb. I think it's a big blind spot.

But at the same time, if you shut down the US connection to the World Health Organization, on the one hand that creates a big precedent for other countries to do the same which would happen very quickly.

Number two, all of the dictatorial medical dictatorship stuff could not happen.

So it doesn't matter that you got vaccines floating around. You don't have a policy to create a medical dictatorship and there are so many more things.

But this was what was being revived, and this is the only viable thing in the US

When I look at the US at this point, the only viable if the US is going to avoid a dark age, a complete meltdown, it's because there is something within that more viable component of the Republican party that is capable of organizing itself properly, learning from its mistakes. Will that be Trump who leads it or somebody else I don't know I think Trump still has potential. (At this point a Trump alliance with Bobby Kennedy Jr would be a massively important flank)

He certainly has a willingness to fight.

I think he's got blind spots but despite that I don't see many other people who are capable of wielding that level of influence at this time of crisis, so that's one thing.

But I think he needs to have a very educated base who's able to like think for themselves and not fall for these like stupid traps in the intellectual minefield that try to say that oh all of our problems are caused by evil China or evil Russia or evil Venezuela or something stupid right, that just completely avoids this entire continuity

of this satanic oligarchical system that's been built into the black nobility of Europe concentrated in the City of London that's been there for a long time.

People are just avoiding looking at that because, I don't know there's a variety of reasons maybe why but all that's to say that's where we're at right now.

I know we're running out of the end of our second hour, so I figured I would probably wrap it up here as a good spot.

JIM

Oh no I want more about how we can evade the new world order, the Great Reset and I want to bring you back I will discuss this with you Matt as soon as we conclude the show but I want you to address that issue.